

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,793	11/02/2001	Axel K. Kloth	2001 P 16199 US	3205
75	90 10/04/2005		EXAM	NER
SIEMENS CORPORATION			BLOUNT, STEVEN	
INFINEON TE	CHNOLOGIES NORTH	AMERICA CORP.		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DEPT.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
170 WOOD AV	'ENUE SOUTH		2661	
ISELIN, NJ 0	8830			_

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,793	KLOTH, AXEL K.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven Blount	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature that the provided part of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	IICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 s 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2005</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) obj e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in onty documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/052,793

Art Unit: 2661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 2, 5, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicants admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of U.S. patent 6,691,206 to Rubenstein.

With regard to claim 1, AAPA teaches, starting on page 1 lines 10+ of the specification, using lookup tables in routers to lookup ports, said routing tables being stored in DRAM. AAPA also identifies a problem existing in the prior art wherein this routine introduces excessive unwanted latency. AAPA does not however teach a solution to this problem to comprise using both DRAM and SRAM in the lookup table.

This is taught in Rubenstein. See col 7 lines 3+:

"This problem can be addressed through the selective use of SRAM cells in DRAM array... The use of SRAM cells in part of the DRAM array can be especially useful in telecom applications such as a router, where the format of the data, e.g. packet size, is predetermined and fixed".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have solved the problem existing in the prior art comprising latency in DRAM based router memories as stated in the AAPA, in light of the teachings of

Art Unit: 2661

Rubenstein, in order to provide a method of routing data at speeds which make the said routers efficient and economical to use.

With regard to claim 2, 5, 8, 9, see the rejection above.

3. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicants admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of U.S. patent 6,691,206 to Rubenstein as applied to claims 1 – 2, 5, 8, 9, and 12 above, and further in view of U.S. patent 6,154,746 to Berchtold et al.

AAPA/Rubenstein teach the invention as discussed above, but do not teach searching using bisection or binary search trees. This is taught in Berchtold et al. See col 15 lines 15+ and col 1 lines 30+.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have searched the data of AAPA/Rubenstein using binary search and bisection in light of the teachings of Berchtold in order to provide one more method for speeding up the search of the data.

4. Claims 12 - 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicants admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of U.S. patent 6,691,206 to Rubenstein as applied above to claims 1 - 2, 5, 8, 9, and further in view of U.S. patent 5,875,117 to Jones et al.

AAPA/Rubenstein teach the invention as described above, but do not teach a SRAM cache. Jones et al teaches a SRAM cache in a similar overall system. See col 12 lines 10+.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,793

Art Unit: 2661

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided AAPA/Rubenstein with a SRAM cache in light of the teachings of Jones et al in order to provide a faster data response to a router request.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicants admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of U.S. patent 6,691,206 to Rubenstein as applied above to claims 1-2, 5, 8, 9, and U.S. patent 5,875,117 to Jones et al as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of U.S. patent 6,154,746 to Berchtold et al.

AAPA/Rubenstein/Jones et al teach the invention as described above, but do not teach the use of a bisection search. This is taught in Berchtold et al, as described above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the bisection method in AAPA/Rubenstein/Jones et al in light of the teachings of Berchtold in order to provide a faster search method.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Blount whose telephone number is 703-305-0319. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Chau Nguyen, can be reached on 571 – 272 - 3071. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,793

Art Unit: 2661

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajit Patel
Primary Examiner